


**Q1 How would you best characterize your organizational affiliation? (Check appropriate boxes)**

Answered: 196 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses
Business	0.00% 0
Engineer	6.12% 12
Business Association	0.00% 0
Consultant	28.06% 55
University	19.39% 38
Non-profit or Community Based Organization	11.22% 22
Governmental	39.80% 78
<b>Total Respondents: 196</b>	


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Wholesale Nursery	2/10/2016 9:48 AM
2	nursery	2/10/2016 9:43 AM
3	University of Penn, Native Plant Society NJ	2/9/2016 3:29 PM
4	PDE,WCWA, SER-MA	2/9/2016 3:08 PM
5	SWS	2/9/2016 11:58 AM
6	Developer	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
7	Delaware State University	2/9/2016 10:18 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

8	AWRA	2/9/2016 10:00 AM
9	Caesar Rodney School District	2/9/2016 9:53 AM
10	Reclaim our River-Nanticoke Series, Part-time at DNS	2/9/2016 9:50 AM
11	Local Non-Profit	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
12	Society for Ecological Restoration, DISC	2/9/2016 9:21 AM

**Q2 If you are a governmental employee, what best describes you?**


Answered: 73 Skipped: 126



Answer Choices	Responses
Federal	12.33% 9
State	83.56% 61
County	2.74% 2
Regional	0.00% 0
Local	1.37% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>

### Q3 And are you:


Answered: 52 Skipped: 147



Answer Choices	Responses
Appointed	1.92% 1
Elected	0.00% 0
Employed	98.08% 51
<b>Total</b>	<b>52</b>

### Q4 Was this your first time attending the Delaware Wetlands Conference?


Answered: 193 Skipped: 6



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	56.99%	110
No	43.01%	83
<b>Total</b>		<b>193</b>

**Q5 Please specify the main reason for attending this conference? (Only choose one option)**

Answered: 194 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Content	33.51% 65
Networking	27.84% 54
Personal Growth & Development	29.38% 57
Speakers	3.61% 7
Other (please specify)	5.67% 11
<b>Total</b>	<b>194</b>


#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Both content and networking were important to me.	2/10/2016 2:48 PM
2	Presented and Networking	2/10/2016 2:45 PM
3	Presentation	2/10/2016 8:19 AM
4	all of the above	2/9/2016 3:10 PM
5	x	2/9/2016 1:30 PM
6	AICP CM Credit	2/9/2016 11:52 AM
7	Speaker	2/9/2016 11:42 AM
8	Help me with Naticoke River Restoration	2/9/2016 10:04 AM
9	Apply techniques to work	2/9/2016 9:30 AM
10	Grant	2/9/2016 9:17 AM

2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

11	DISC is a sponsor	2/9/2016 9:06 AM
----	-------------------	------------------

### Q6 Participating in this conference was a good use of my time


Answered: 194 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses
Strongly Agree	65.46% 127
Agree	33.51% 65
Unsure	0.52% 1
Disagree	0.00% 0
Strongly Disagree	0.52% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>194</b>

**Q7 How much did this conference increase your knowledge or understanding of wetland related topics**


Answered: 194 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
A great deal	24.74%	48
A lot	44.33%	86
Some	27.84%	54
A little	3.09%	6
Not at all	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>194</b>

### Q8 Did you learn something at the conference that you will apply in your future projects or decisions


Answered: 194 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	88.66%	172
No	0.52%	1
Not sure	9.79%	19
Not applicable	1.03%	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>194</b>

**Q9 This year's conference was expanded to two days to allow for more time to explore wetland related topics. Do you think this conference benefited from the extra day?**

Answered: 181 Skipped: 18



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	97.24% 176
No	2.76% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>181</b>

#	Please explain:	Date
1	I liked how there were so many presentations to chose from.	2/10/2016 2:54 PM
2	Education and Outreach was new and of most interest- it's why I was here	2/10/2016 11:00 AM
3	More available topics to discuss	2/10/2016 10:58 AM
4	could even use more time- slightly more in depth presentations and lomger Q&A	2/10/2016 10:52 AM
5	more topics and ___ opportunity for attendees	2/10/2016 10:49 AM
6	added time for discussion and more choice of sessions, Great!	2/10/2016 10:49 AM
7	I only attended one day due to my schedule, so for me- no.	2/10/2016 10:44 AM
8	more diversity	2/10/2016 10:43 AM
9	N/A	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
10	I enjoyed the 2 day length and felt it kept sessions at a good pace to follow	2/10/2016 10:41 AM
11	There was more chances to see things that I may have missed the day before	2/10/2016 10:40 AM
12	The added time for movement between sessions was good as it allowed for more questions. This alone is worth expanding to 2 days.	2/10/2016 10:39 AM
13	More time to network and time for questions.	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
14	2 days is long enough to meet people but short enough for general time budget	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
15	The length of the conference is just right as was the majority of the information presented.	2/10/2016 10:36 AM
16	Increased number of speakers and topics.	2/10/2016 10:33 AM
17	I think there are too many topics and presentations to fit into one day.	2/10/2016 10:32 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

18	Expanded sessions and additional conversations and poster time are very worthwhile	2/10/2016 10:27 AM
19	More time meet guest and network	2/10/2016 10:24 AM
20	the more interventions the better for the audience	2/10/2016 10:16 AM
21	like the additional day- content of conference strong throughout. Believe the extra day draws more people from region.	2/10/2016 10:11 AM
22	More presentations and time between presentations	2/10/2016 10:10 AM
23	lots of great presentation and the extra day allowed more time to see them. I was bummed I missed the first part of the first day	2/10/2016 10:02 AM
24	More content	2/10/2016 10:00 AM
25	1st year, cannot compare	2/10/2016 9:49 AM
26	gave room for diverse topics	2/10/2016 9:46 AM
27	people had time to stop and really talk	2/10/2016 9:44 AM
28	I liked the short sessions allowing for more topics to be covered.	2/10/2016 9:22 AM
29	Sessions on 2nd day were very useful to me personally. also more time to get to know people.	2/10/2016 9:17 AM
30	More talks able to be presented, more time between talks is great.	2/10/2016 9:16 AM
31	nice diversity of topics	2/10/2016 9:15 AM
32	300 people signing up to attend	2/10/2016 9:06 AM
33	Maybe- Could only attend Wednesday due to previous commitment.	2/10/2016 9:03 AM
34	Next step would be having different posters on the 2nd day	2/10/2016 9:01 AM
35	Excellent	2/10/2016 8:55 AM
36	First time attending- Topics on 1st day appeared of more interest to my field as it relates more to engineering side of issues	2/10/2016 8:52 AM
37	Not sure, only attending one day	2/10/2016 8:47 AM
38	Climate adaptation science is taking off	2/10/2016 8:33 AM
39	allowed for greater range of project types	2/10/2016 8:29 AM
40	more time for face to face discussions, at all things- posters, lunch, presentations	2/10/2016 8:27 AM
41	it allowed more time for speakers and questions	2/10/2016 8:19 AM
42	N/A	2/9/2016 3:39 PM
43	It felt more relaxed, less rushed, and gave more opportunity for discussions with presenters	2/9/2016 3:38 PM
44	N/A	2/9/2016 3:35 PM
45	Well, I didnt attend the first day, so I really appreciate the second day.	2/9/2016 3:32 PM
46	N/A	2/9/2016 3:28 PM
47	N/A	2/9/2016 3:26 PM
48	It was not a rush to meet people and network. There was plenty of opportunity to meet people.	2/9/2016 3:24 PM
49	I'm only attending for one day, but it looks like good stuff again on Thursday.	2/9/2016 3:22 PM
50	There were a lot of good topics and it seemed a lot of people have things to present	2/9/2016 3:21 PM
51	I'm not sure I was registered for one day.	2/9/2016 3:19 PM
52	Provided opportunity for more presentations and networking	2/9/2016 3:18 PM
53	More topics able to be covered	2/9/2016 3:16 PM
54	N/A	2/9/2016 3:15 PM
55	N/A	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
56	The presentations were a nice length and there was enough break time	2/9/2016 3:12 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

57	More opportunity and more time to network	2/9/2016 3:10 PM
58	I did not attend last year, but by looking at this year's schedule, 2 days is good!	2/9/2016 3:05 PM
59	more opportunities to network and see great research!	2/9/2016 1:33 PM
60	I was only able to attend one day however I believe two days allow for more speakers and flexibility for attendees.	2/9/2016 1:30 PM
61	I have never attended this conference before but I have been told there is more time for talks, networking, and learning than previous.	2/9/2016 1:15 PM
62	Two days was great, but as this is my 1st time attending I cannot compare.	2/9/2016 1:02 PM
63	I was only able to attend one day, but had it only been wednesday I would not have benefitted.	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
64	My first time here, but I thought the more relaxed presentations per day, fewer sessions per day and more open time, more time for questions to presenters and time for discussion between presenters as well as multiple days to view posters	2/9/2016 12:19 PM
65	Too much information (projects, tools, data) to present in one day. 2 days allows for all content (presenters and posters) while still allowing time for networking.	2/9/2016 12:07 PM
66	Much more time for networking this year- it was appreciated.	2/9/2016 12:02 PM
67	All courses and discussions were worthy of attending.	2/9/2016 12:00 PM
68	Not so much of a rush. Got to network more.	2/9/2016 11:58 AM
69	N/A	2/9/2016 11:57 AM
70	Yes, more time for networking, seems like intent is there.	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
71	I could only attend on one of the days.	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
72	N/A	2/9/2016 11:52 AM
73	Awesome!	2/9/2016 11:48 AM
74	N/A- only attended 1 day but I would have liked to attend 2 days (just got busy)	2/9/2016 11:46 AM
75	N/A	2/9/2016 11:42 AM
76	N/A	2/9/2016 11:40 AM
77	N/A	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
78	This was my first conference but I feel like there was a lot of information to cover if only limited to one day.	2/9/2016 11:34 AM
79	more topics were able to be covered with more speakers	2/9/2016 11:34 AM
80	More opportunity for info to be shared/learned.	2/9/2016 11:31 AM
81	Gave time for more presentations and workshops which I appreciated having available to attend. Very informative.	2/9/2016 11:26 AM
82	N/A	2/9/2016 11:22 AM
83	It provided opportunities to interact and ask questions. Presentation timeline was very good we actually learned about the projects. It should be at least two days.	2/9/2016 11:10 AM
84	The breadth and diveristy of presentations and materials justifies it. This is becoming an important regional event.	2/9/2016 11:08 AM
85	Great Content. Well organized.	2/9/2016 11:03 AM
86	Absolutely! Better opportunity for networking; more presentations; less frenzied pace	2/9/2016 10:57 AM
87	More content and no need to feel rushed	2/9/2016 10:49 AM
88	More relaxed and encouraged participation in happy hour. No rush to get on road before rush hour.	2/9/2016 10:46 AM
89	The range of topics was increased and also allowed an opportunity for the social at the Iron Hill Brewery for networking	2/9/2016 10:36 AM
90	More speakers allow additional content	2/9/2016 10:31 AM
91	Loved the format	2/9/2016 10:28 AM
92	Although I am not sure there was sufficient material to keep audience interest throughout day 2	2/9/2016 10:23 AM
93	N/A	2/9/2016 10:20 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey


94	More content, more time to see posters & network, less "rushed"	2/9/2016 10:20 AM
95	Helped spread things out instead of trying to cram everything into one day. As a result, I could see more talks.	2/9/2016 10:19 AM
96	Even with two days, it felt like there was a lot packed in, would you consider fewer, but longer sessions?	2/9/2016 10:16 AM
97	The conference included a great mix of Delaware and regional topic/speakers and a wide variety of subjects. This could not have been done in 1 day and likely attracted more attendees.	2/9/2016 10:05 AM
98	I enjoyed and learned alot from this conference but wish the freshwater wetlands concerns (ie Naticoke River Headwaters) were addressed	2/9/2016 10:04 AM
99	Encourages visitors to travel from further away	2/9/2016 10:02 AM
100	Allowed for "Education" to be represented	2/9/2016 9:55 AM
101	Allowed more time for networking & project/future project discussion and collaboration.	2/9/2016 9:48 AM
102	Expanded the scope and attracted more participants from a wider geographic area	2/9/2016 9:45 AM
103	Diversity of topics is great!	2/9/2016 9:43 AM
104	It allowed a greater number and variety of speakers	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
105	The number of talks alone made the second day valuable	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
106	N/A	2/9/2016 9:31 AM
107	Even though I didn't experience it as one day. I can see how more information and opportunity is presented with two days.	2/9/2016 9:30 AM
108	Plenty of talks and breaks were perfect. Things were not rushed - very relaxed atmosphere.	2/9/2016 9:27 AM
109	Not as rushed/overwhelming	2/9/2016 9:25 AM
110	N/A	2/9/2016 9:23 AM
111	Plenty of great speakers, liked the option to choose	2/9/2016 9:22 AM
112	Gave more time of extra speakers. More time to meet other professionals	2/9/2016 9:20 AM
113	It allowed for more networking and the ability to learn more content.	2/9/2016 9:18 AM
114	Allows more time for networking and viewing posters	2/9/2016 9:17 AM
115	Yes, there was more time to go into wetland related topics such as Living Shorelines.	2/9/2016 9:14 AM
116	N/A	2/9/2016 9:13 AM
117	The extension enable more networking time and more content	2/9/2016 9:09 AM
118	Allowed for lots of different choices of presentations to attend.	2/9/2016 9:06 AM
119	Allowed more presentation topics and extended presentation times for more in-depth topics	2/9/2016 9:05 AM
120	N/A	2/9/2016 9:00 AM
121	Allow for more information without being rushed	2/9/2016 8:58 AM
122	Seemed a bit of a stretch; some of the talks were underwhelming	2/9/2016 8:56 AM
123	Not sure what the single day conference was like, but I was wabl to connect wi/ people the first day and follow up and build a relationship by the second.	2/9/2016 8:53 AM
124	There is a need to present on many aspects of wetland topics/research	2/9/2016 8:40 AM
125	N/A	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
126	The pace of the conference was more relaxed and less rushed.	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
127	The additional day provided additional networking time, which is more important as the conference grows. Felt presentation quality suffered from 2nd day.	2/9/2016 8:32 AM
128	Two days (and the 5 minutes between talks to float around sessions) were great and allowed for greater exposure to different wetlands perspectives.	2/9/2016 8:28 AM
129	This is a great conference and adding another day just doubles the opportunity to learn and network without missing too many days of work.	2/9/2016 8:19 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

130	I thought it was great, especially the happy hour which was a great opportunity to meet others and network.	2/9/2016 8:13 AM
131	Only attended day 2, but am happy to have had the option.	2/8/2016 3:02 PM
132	I couldn't make the first day, so I'm glad there was a second.	2/8/2016 2:59 PM

**Q10 Please select the number which best describes your thoughts about each session at the conference on Wednesday, February 3. Number "1" = "not satisfied"; Number "3" = "satisfied"; Number "5" = "very satisfied". If you did not attend a session, please select n/a.**

Answered: 193 Skipped: 6



	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Day 1 Invited Speaker: Elizabeth Schuster	1.55%	5.18%	17.10%	24.35%	26.94%	24.87%	193	3.93
Session: Restoration	0.00%	0.52%	5.18%	26.42%	30.05%	37.82%	193	4.38
Session: Climate Change	1.04%	0.52%	6.74%	22.28%	17.10%	52.33%	193	4.13
Session: Mapping, GIS and Modeling	0.00%	2.59%	10.36%	16.58%	5.18%	65.28%	193	3.70
Session: Planning and Conservation	0.00%	0.52%	7.29%	23.44%	17.71%	51.04%	192	4.19
Session: Tidal Plants and Animals	0.00%	0.52%	9.33%	16.58%	23.83%	49.74%	193	4.27

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

Climate Change Workshop	0.52%	1.04%	3.13%	6.77%	8.85%	79.69%		
	1	2	6	13	17	153	192	4.10
Poster Session Day 1	0.00%	0.00%	12.95%	34.72%	31.09%	21.24%		
	0	0	25	67	60	41	193	4.23
Eat, Drink, and Connect! Networking Event	0.00%	0.52%	3.11%	15.03%	33.68%	47.67%		
	0	1	6	29	65	92	193	4.56


#	Comments:	Date
1	N/A	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
2	N/A	2/10/2016 10:39 AM
3	N/A	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
4	N/A	2/10/2016 10:33 AM
5	Iron Hill was very loud!	2/10/2016 9:17 AM
6	N/A	2/9/2016 3:39 PM
7	N/A	2/9/2016 3:38 PM
8	N/A	2/9/2016 3:35 PM
9	N/A	2/9/2016 3:32 PM
10	N/A	2/9/2016 3:28 PM
11	N/A	2/9/2016 3:26 PM
12	N/A	2/9/2016 3:24 PM
13	N/A	2/9/2016 3:21 PM
14	N/A	2/9/2016 3:18 PM
15	N/A	2/9/2016 3:15 PM
16	N/A	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
17	N/A	2/9/2016 3:10 PM
18	N/A	2/9/2016 3:05 PM
19	N/A	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
20	N/A	2/9/2016 12:19 PM
21	N/A	2/9/2016 12:07 PM
22	N/A	2/9/2016 12:02 PM
23	N/A	2/9/2016 12:00 PM
24	N/A	2/9/2016 11:57 AM
25	N/A	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
26	N/A	2/9/2016 11:52 AM
27	Data was not conclusive in Climate change session. Wish more time for 106 topic.	2/9/2016 11:48 AM
28	N/A	2/9/2016 11:46 AM
29	N/A	2/9/2016 11:42 AM
30	N/A	2/9/2016 11:40 AM
31	N/A	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
32	N/A	2/9/2016 11:34 AM
33	N/A	2/9/2016 11:31 AM
34	N/A	2/9/2016 11:26 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

35	N/A	2/9/2016 11:22 AM
36	N/A	2/9/2016 11:08 AM
37	N/A	2/9/2016 11:03 AM
38	N/A	2/9/2016 10:46 AM
39	N/A	2/9/2016 10:36 AM
40	N/A	2/9/2016 10:31 AM
41	N/A	2/9/2016 10:28 AM
42	N/A	2/9/2016 10:23 AM
43	N/A	2/9/2016 10:20 AM
44	N/A	2/9/2016 10:04 AM
45	N/A	2/9/2016 9:45 AM
46	N/A	2/9/2016 9:31 AM
47	N/A	2/9/2016 9:23 AM
48	Gave Elizabeth Schuster a 6	2/9/2016 9:17 AM
49	N/A	2/9/2016 9:13 AM
50	N/A	2/9/2016 9:05 AM
51	N/A	2/9/2016 9:00 AM
52	N/A	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
53	I barely got to see the posters because I was too busy catching up with everyone here!	2/9/2016 8:34 AM

**Q11 Please select the number which best describes your thoughts about each session at the conference on Thursday, February 4. Number "1" = "not satisfied"; Number "3" = "satisfied"; Number "5" = "very satisfied". If you did not attend a session, please select n/a.**

Answered: 193 Skipped: 6



	1	2	3	4	5	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Day 2 Invited Speaker: William Mitsch	2.07%	4.15%	12.95%	23.83%	31.61%	25.39%	193	4.06
Session: Shoreline Restoration	0.00%	0.52%	4.66%	24.87%	28.50%	41.45%	193	4.39
Session: Monitoring and Assessment	0.00%	1.56%	6.25%	22.92%	18.75%	50.52%	192	4.19
Session: Education and Outreach	0.52%	0.52%	1.56%	8.33%	9.90%	79.17%	192	4.28
Session: Non-Tidal Plants and Animals	1.05%	0.52%	5.24%	22.51%	18.32%	52.36%	191	4.19
Session: Prime Hook National Wildlife Refuge	0.00%	0.52%	4.17%	19.27%	15.63%	60.42%	192	4.26
Accelerating Wetland Restoration Workshop	1.04%	0.00%	1.56%	3.65%	6.25%	87.50%	192	4.13

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

Poster Session Day 2	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>1.05%</b>	<b>12.57%</b>	<b>26.18%</b>	<b>26.18%</b>	<b>33.51%</b>		
	1	2	24	50	50	64	191	4.15


#	Comments:	Date
1	I think there could have been a higher quality of presentations, including more case studies and examples and scientific methods. Much seemed cursory or worse. Maybe a peer review process?	2/10/2016 2:50 PM
2	Prime Hook- Only attended one session but speaker was very mumbly and non-energetic.	2/10/2016 10:44 AM
3	N/A	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
4	N/A	2/10/2016 10:39 AM
5	N/A	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
6	N/A	2/10/2016 10:33 AM
7	suggestion: love that you have 5 minutes in between but for evaluation you'd have to break it out to each presenter as I couldn't rate the entire session	2/10/2016 10:11 AM
8	great time keeping!	2/10/2016 8:33 AM
9	N/A	2/9/2016 3:39 PM
10	N/A	2/9/2016 3:38 PM
11	N/A	2/9/2016 3:35 PM
12	Did not like Mitsch, wasnt sure what he was thinking.	2/9/2016 3:32 PM
13	N/A	2/9/2016 3:28 PM
14	N/A	2/9/2016 3:26 PM
15	N/A	2/9/2016 3:24 PM
16	N/A	2/9/2016 3:21 PM
17	N/A	2/9/2016 3:18 PM
18	N/A	2/9/2016 3:15 PM
19	N/A	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
20	N/A	2/9/2016 3:10 PM
21	N/A	2/9/2016 3:05 PM
22	N/A	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
23	N/A	2/9/2016 12:19 PM
24	N/A	2/9/2016 12:07 PM
25	N/A	2/9/2016 12:02 PM
26	N/A	2/9/2016 12:00 PM
27	N/A	2/9/2016 11:57 AM
28	N/A	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
29	N/A	2/9/2016 11:52 AM
30	N/A	2/9/2016 11:48 AM
31	N/A: Said they attended 1 day but graded both days.	2/9/2016 11:46 AM
32	N/A	2/9/2016 11:42 AM
33	N/A	2/9/2016 11:40 AM
34	N/A	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
35	N/A	2/9/2016 11:34 AM
36	N/A	2/9/2016 11:31 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

37	N/A	2/9/2016 11:26 AM
38	N/A	2/9/2016 11:22 AM
39	N/A	2/9/2016 11:08 AM
40	N/A	2/9/2016 11:03 AM
41	N/A	2/9/2016 10:46 AM
42	N/A	2/9/2016 10:36 AM
43	N/A	2/9/2016 10:31 AM
44	N/A	2/9/2016 10:28 AM
45	N/A	2/9/2016 10:23 AM
46	N/A	2/9/2016 10:20 AM
47	N/A	2/9/2016 10:04 AM
48	N/A	2/9/2016 9:45 AM
49	N/A	2/9/2016 9:31 AM
50	N/A	2/9/2016 9:23 AM
51	N/A	2/9/2016 9:17 AM
52	N/A	2/9/2016 9:13 AM
53	N/A	2/9/2016 9:05 AM
54	N/A	2/9/2016 9:00 AM
55	N/A	2/9/2016 8:38 AM

**Q12 Please select the number which best describes your feelings about the Delaware Wetlands Conference. Number "1" = "not satisfied"; Number "3" = "satisfied"; Number "5" = "very satisfied".**


Answered: 188 Skipped: 11



	1	2	3	4	5	Total	Weighted Average
How satisfied were you with the opportunities provided for networking at the conference:	0.00% 0	0.53% 1	9.04% 17	34.04% 64	56.38% 106	188	4.46
How satisfied were you with the information presented of the conference:	0.00% 0	0.53% 1	8.51% 16	40.96% 77	50.00% 94	188	4.40
How satisfied were you with the format of the conference:	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.26% 8	25.00% 47	70.74% 133	188	4.66
How satisfied were you with the pace of the conference:	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7.07% 13	23.91% 44	69.02% 127	184	4.62
How satisfied were you with the facilities for the conference:	0.00% 0	0.54% 1	3.23% 6	15.59% 29	80.65% 150	186	4.76
How satisfied were you with the communication before the conference:	0.54% 1	2.15% 4	8.60% 16	22.04% 41	66.67% 124	186	4.52
How satisfied were you with the online registration for the conference:	3.45% 6	4.02% 7	16.67% 29	24.71% 43	51.15% 89	174	4.16

### Q13 What wetland related issues would you like to hear more about at future Delaware Wetlands Conferences?

Answered: 182 Skipped: 17



Answer Choices	Responses
Policy and Legislation	24.18% 44
Permitting	30.22% 55
Restoration and Mitigation	57.69% 105
Outreach and Education	22.53% 41
Monitoring and Assessment	43.96% 80

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

Wetland Regulations	31.32%	57
Sea Level Rise	33.52%	61
Climate Change	30.77%	56
Invasive Species	42.31%	77
Wildlife	40.66%	74
Wetlands and Natural Hazards	18.68%	34
Communication	12.09%	22
Workshops	18.13%	33
<b>Total Respondents: 182</b>		

#	Other topics? Please indicate:	Date
1	Incentives for protection.	2/10/2016 2:50 PM
2	Lymes disease and other human illness and risks to health of people in this line of business.	2/10/2016 10:58 AM
3	registration- browser problem but eventually resolved it	2/10/2016 10:49 AM
4	Project related past and future	2/10/2016 10:24 AM
5	environmental economic assessments	2/10/2016 10:00 AM
6	Restoration- what works and what values are replicated and where	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
7	Contaminant impacts/ considerations prior to restoration activities	2/10/2016 9:46 AM
8	land use change	2/10/2016 9:17 AM
9	Soils, Plant ID	2/9/2016 3:32 PM
10	New Technologies for wetlands(drones, apps, online programs,etc)	2/9/2016 3:28 PM
11	Living Shorelines	2/9/2016 3:10 PM
12	Soils, Freshwater wetlands, seasonally dynamic wetlands (Dry end Wetlands)	2/9/2016 3:04 PM
13	Technical GIS	2/9/2016 2:53 PM
14	Science research involved in restoration outreach	2/9/2016 1:58 PM
15	Partner Opportunities	2/9/2016 12:19 PM
16	Climate/weather, Modeling (Physical)	2/9/2016 12:07 PM
17	hydrology, sediment transport and accretion/erosion	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
18	Loved the facilities! Networking dropped off Day 2 a lot.	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
19	Ecology, Status and Trends	2/9/2016 11:45 AM
20	Perhaps Bio-remediation and Brownfields	2/9/2016 11:10 AM
21	N/A	2/9/2016 10:28 AM
22	N/A	2/9/2016 10:23 AM
23	N/A	2/9/2016 10:20 AM
24	Stakeholder Engagement	2/9/2016 10:16 AM
25	Economics - Elizabeth Schuster stimulated lots of thought that carried into some of the sessions (costs/benefit).	2/9/2016 10:05 AM
26	Freshwater issues and examples. Coastal issues dominated this conference. Naticoke River was hardly mentioned even though it is the most pristine tributary entering the Chesapeake Bay and is habitat to many unique species of plants/animals/water life.	2/9/2016 10:04 AM
27	Valuation of Wetlands ecosystem services	2/9/2016 9:45 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

28	Anything going on regionally	2/9/2016 9:31 AM
29	soil biota including microbiota	2/9/2016 9:23 AM
30	Wetlands-NBS-economics valuation metrics	2/9/2016 9:17 AM
31	N/A	2/9/2016 9:05 AM
32	N/A	2/9/2016 9:00 AM
33	N/A	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
34	Sustainability/Resiliency	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
35	Water Quality linkages/benefits	2/9/2016 8:34 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

### Q14 Please leave any additional comments you may have for the conference organizers:

Answered: 115 Skipped: 84

#	Responses	Date
1	Extremely well run. 5 minutes between talks was great and keeping speakers on track was great.	2/10/2016 11:01 AM
2	Like the new space this year! Great invited speakers! Variation in quality of some presentations, but mostly very informative and engaging.	2/10/2016 10:56 AM
3	good location surprised not too many attendees from municipalities and counties in DE, possibly something to publicize more for future years	2/10/2016 10:50 AM
4	One of the tax ditch sessions could have been held on Day 2 so that those of us unable to attend day 1 could have attended one of those sessions. That may be true of other themes too. If you're going to do two-day conferences, spread out similarly themed topics. Overall , I enjoyed the conference a great deal! I especially liked the shorter presentations.	2/10/2016 10:47 AM
5	Even though I did attend sessions that touched on regional activities which included VA it would have been good to have more sessions, networking and information on more regional efforts such as the North Atlantic LCC	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
6	Had a glitch for registration @ the DTI level	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
7	N/A	2/10/2016 10:40 AM
8	The dessert with the posters/vendors is a perfect idea, well done! Having the conference in Wilmington was great for me. The venue is excellent, presenter rooms way better than Dover facility.	2/10/2016 10:38 AM
9	Need to provide laser pointers to presenters.	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
10	very informative conference	2/10/2016 10:37 AM
11	I think the event is getting better every 2 years! Great Job! The happy hour was a good idea too. Thanks!	2/10/2016 10:34 AM
12	N/A	2/10/2016 10:33 AM
13	I really enjoyed the pace of talks and the change over time was appreciated.	2/10/2016 10:28 AM
14	Add Credit card use	2/10/2016 10:25 AM
15	Very well organized. Happy with turnout at my session and interest in poster. Wonderful staff organizing event- would be happy to work with them again	2/10/2016 10:22 AM
16	presentations too short some of the presentations are in a middle point of the work, they could show results	2/10/2016 10:17 AM
17	Kudos to the planning team! Conference went well. I like the 2 days and incorporating training into the conference. Both I think helped draw attendance both regionally and professional diversity (saw several state parks folds at the conf that I don't remember seeing in years past) like the Chaste Center much better than Dover Downs! Future growth idea- student poster/presentation awards I like the poster/dessert sessions in middle of day tied with networking thought about field trip opportunities for restoration or monitoring sites	2/10/2016 10:14 AM
18	Hard to evaluate an entire session when I only attended one presentation in the session. Loved the extra 5 minutes between talks. Not sure if a 15min break is needed in afternoon because of time between. Did not like site for online registration.	2/10/2016 10:12 AM
19	this was a great conference! I really enjoyed my time here and greatly appreciate your time and efforts in providing this opportunity	2/10/2016 10:03 AM
20	1. Recycling and compost collection 2. Encourage BYO cups for coffee/water/beverages. 3. This was the best conference I have attended this winter!!! Thanks and please invite us next time!!	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
21	great conference! learned a lot	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
22	the two day poster session was not beneficial. there was less attendance. maybe make it one day but longer. the initial online registration site was confusing and poor communication	2/10/2016 9:56 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

23	more info needed on the policy and law level. I understand why this year there was less but a bit more would have been good for me.	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
24	had a great time. Very informative. perhaps have more time between talks.	2/10/2016 9:50 AM
25	Great Job! You all did DNREC proud. Pat yourselves on the back for a job well done.	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
26	some presentations were hurried great job, maybe a different lunch on day 2	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
27	A wonderful effort by DNREC staff. Rejuvenating, educational & collaborative. I was so pleased to attend.	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
28	I would recommend the possibility of getting recycling bins at the next conference	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
29	Pro: great space for presentations; good food; easily access from highway Cons: Airvents in Riverfront ballroom rattled; longer commute, not too bad though; event "next door" on day 1 was too loud for lobdel room; setup in Christina ballroom should be flipped - speaker and screen on short wall; Day 1 too hot; Day 2 Ok	2/10/2016 9:20 AM
30	Great job keeping to time and giving time for questions and changing sessions. I loved the workshop format. Informative, interactive and a good forum to make connections	2/10/2016 9:19 AM
31	Best conference yet. Good job.	2/10/2016 9:06 AM
32	Better than Dover downs.	2/10/2016 9:03 AM
33	Happy I attended. Well done conference. If possible consider changing posters on 2nd day for the benefit of those who attended both days.	2/10/2016 9:02 AM
34	We should have recycling bins. Food was great.	2/10/2016 8:58 AM
35	Great job organizing! New facility was very nice	2/10/2016 8:57 AM
36	very nicely done, organized, run! Thank you	2/10/2016 8:34 AM
37	The DLC registration process was quite difficult to navigate and associated emails were confusing in particular those associated with attending the meeting. I had 5 employees attend the meeting and I only received approval requests for the 2 merit, none of the 3 KCD employees. Need recycling bins. Question 8 was difficult to answer on this survey because I floated between sessions and did not typically stay in one for the entire slot thus it was difficult to adequately evaluate it because I was not there the entire time. Overall you guys did a fantastic job. Great meeting! How you are able to pull this large meeting off with such a small group of support is a true testament to your hard work and dedication. Well done!	2/10/2016 8:06 AM
38	Good Job! The 15min limit kept everyone on-topic, although sometimes the topics needed more time to explain and Q&A. Organizers did a good job of staying no schedule. Pre-communication and conference booklet were excellent.	2/9/2016 3:42 PM
39	N/A	2/9/2016 3:40 PM
40	N/A	2/9/2016 3:38 PM
41	-15 minutes was a little short for presenters to go into detail	2/9/2016 3:36 PM
42	-Good long breaks -Great food -Convenient parking/hotel -Nice job with the sponsors	2/9/2016 3:33 PM
43	N/A	2/9/2016 3:26 PM
44	Great facilities, outstanding planning, and great outcome	2/9/2016 3:24 PM
45	N/A	2/9/2016 3:21 PM
46	N/A	2/9/2016 3:19 PM
47	N/A	2/9/2016 3:16 PM
48	N/A	2/9/2016 3:14 PM
49	Great job everyone	2/9/2016 3:11 PM
50	-Registration system was confusing. -Would have been nice to have more talks with results, not just info on project development	2/9/2016 3:06 PM
51	recyclable cups= awesome , very important Buffet = great, would be nice if different each day Needs: bulletin board for posting open positions more networking/ young professional career development more open space for networking event to be able to move about freely and facilitate mingling really appreciated the thank you notes format = awesome, no rushing between room and no one off schedule b/c extra time for movement booklet/agenda = really appreciated the extra room for notes! would like even more space	2/9/2016 1:36 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

52	I was very satisfied with how the conference was ran. The schedule was followed very well and punctually and I enjoyed that I didn't have to miss things I wanted to see because of talks running overtime. I did think some speakers needed more time to talk or less info they are trying to get across in a short amount of time.	2/9/2016 1:21 PM
53	N/A	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
54	Actually gave us a 10 on format, pace, and communication. -Great Job -Excellent communication, great format, interesting topics, great networking. -Well done, I will be back in 2 years!	2/9/2016 12:20 PM
55	N/A	2/9/2016 12:08 PM
56	-Great Job, guys!! I heard nothing but great feedback about the conference this year. -I thought the networking event was well worth the headache, too!	2/9/2016 12:04 PM
57	Great conference and great location!	2/9/2016 12:03 PM
58	N/A	2/9/2016 12:00 PM
59	1. Personal card from conveners to presenters was a very nice touch and unique. 2. Room and AV here was good. 3. refreshments good. 4. Evening event at Iron Hill was great for networking. 5. Include poster abstracts?	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
60	I liked that time was built in to move between different presentations.	2/9/2016 11:58 AM
61	Linne Industries partnered with DSU aquaculture to conduct a water quality assessment of water aeration. Please consider inviting D. Dennis McIntosh PI @ DSU to present next year. The study data collection ends in Sept. 2016 and will be ready to present by 1Q 2017	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
62	Great conference!	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
63	N/A	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
64	Very great conference! Not sure how to improve. More recycling.	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
65	Really Nice Job, as usual, well organized. Liked venue, easy, spacious. Always craving more ecology, students. 3rd room was too small for some sessions. Again, great job.	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
66	All the conference organizer are brilliant! Great job Brittany with the pamphlet! Good job moderating Kenny! Go DNREC (elephant doodle)	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
67	N/A	2/9/2016 11:46 AM
68	I was more interested on data collection than studies being planned	2/9/2016 11:44 AM
69	N/A	2/9/2016 11:42 AM
70	first time attendee- will attend again and encourage others as well. Very nice to have a very DE focused research conference	2/9/2016 11:40 AM
71	N/A	2/9/2016 11:40 AM
72	-None of the sessions had pointers for speakers, so it was difficult to follow where speakers were suggesting to look on the slides	2/9/2016 11:38 AM
73	I think the scheduling and organization of the talks was done very well! Sometimes slides were a little hard to read and wish the lights were dimmed more to see clearly.	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
74	N/A	2/9/2016 11:35 AM
75	N/A	2/9/2016 11:31 AM
76	Great conference! -I loved the 2 day format-provided a lot more opportunities to hear presentations and learn from the outreach workshops. -The happy hour and poster sessions were great for networking and connecting. -I think the registration system still needs improvement since it was confusing to use.	2/9/2016 11:29 AM
77	Great Job!!	2/9/2016 11:23 AM
78	-Really enjoyed being on the Wilmington Riverfront -Great venue, and the entire event ran extremely smoothly. -Great mix of research, planning, regulatory aspects, and engineering information -2 days is a must given current scale - Food, snacks, and coffee were very good	2/9/2016 11:11 AM
79	-Would enjoy some longer talks. -Really enjoyed. -Would enjoy some more fish and wetland lectures	2/9/2016 11:04 AM
80	Excellent job overall. Building earlier workshop/conference events.	2/9/2016 11:00 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

81	-Dravo rooms was the best, Christina Ballroom was not good -Dravo room had the best set up, but got chilly in the afternoon. -Christina Ballroom- the speaker was too far from the screen, so could not look at both at the same time. - The lobbell room was nice and intimate.	2/9/2016 10:49 AM
82	N/A	2/9/2016 10:36 AM
83	N/A	2/9/2016 10:31 AM
84	-loved the short sessions -great speaker -logistics were fantastic -love the long network/lunch break	2/9/2016 10:29 AM
85	-Posters could be spaced further apart, presenters has to stand in front of or beside poster -Higher resolution projectors with better focus.	2/9/2016 10:25 AM
86	N/A	2/9/2016 10:20 AM
87	The climate change workshop was geared towards a specific audience, not applicable to everyone. The Reaching Your Audience Workshop was great.	2/9/2016 10:17 AM
88	-Lots of data presented but lack of action plans. -Maybe offer free display tables for volunteer active groups like DNS, Nanticoke Watershed Alliance, Reclaim our River-Nanticoke Series, NRNC (Nature Conservancy), etc. -Please have recycling containers available	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
89	The facility was really exceptional. On didn't feel crowded or confined, the refreshments were just right (variety, timing, supply), and the poster space was well lit and ample. I was entirely pleased with the sound systems in the sessions. It could be partly my hearing loss, but I struggled to hear in the Dravo and Christina Sessions. A huge success! Great-job!	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
90	1. Use different registration system. - impossible to register multiple students under one email/card 2. Posters need more attention. Studies show people recall info from posters better than oral presentations. Combin posters w/ evening get-together. Most importantly, print poster abstracts in program so folks can see what posters interest them most in advance! Also, put all posters together instead of integrating them with vendors=more visibility. 3. Rename Conference: Mid-Atlantic Wetlands Conference	2/9/2016 9:59 AM
91	Thanks for inviting me and our poster.	2/9/2016 9:56 AM
92	The online registration was very confusing (state employees). The setup in the Christina Ballroom was awkward for presenters and the audience. The location of the ppt projection was not in a good location for presenters to interact w/ presentations.	2/9/2016 9:49 AM
93	-Need containers for the large number of recyclable cups and aluminium cans; I took mine home to recycle -Why nothing about the restored Peterson Marsh within walking distance of the Chase Center?	2/9/2016 9:48 AM
94	I appreciate how the sessions were blocked by topic, it made picking relevant sessions simple. I wish there were different posters day to day and recycling opportunities!	2/9/2016 9:44 AM
95	Some sessions would have benefited to longer amount of time devotee to questions.	2/9/2016 9:42 AM
96	-Had trouble getting registered -The timing/format of this conference is the best I have experienced. -I loved that there was sufficient time for questions and I could move between sessions	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
97	Please provide recycling bins! Also different food options for Day 2. The information packet with info about each session was great! There was a lot of great poster info & booth info.	2/9/2016 9:31 AM
98	The moderators kept every speaker to stay on schedule - amazing! Extremely well organized from venue to topics to time for networking. Congratulations on a job well done.	2/9/2016 9:28 AM
99	I was gratified to see that my photograph was on the posters	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
100	Food survey with registration. No vegetarian sandwiches left for end of line. Staff was unhelpful and impolite when asked if there were more. Seating in Riverfront Ballroom for lunch was awkward. Had to search through tables to find silverware. No Recycling cans in inexcusable for plastic cups, soda cans, etc. Appreciate Late Changes made to Day 2! Thanks!	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
101	-Liked the workshop options in the afternoons -Like having room to spreadout -Provide microphone (mobile) for use by audience for questions in sessions, very difficult to hear questions in large rooms -Also in Climate workshop-could not hear audio for videos -Or have speakers repeat questions	2/9/2016 9:19 AM
102	I appreciated that there was a lactation room. I really enjoyed Bill Mitsch and the Living Shoreline material.	2/9/2016 9:15 AM
103	N/A	2/9/2016 9:13 AM
104	Well done! Love the location and the extension to 2 days. I think the location and 2 days will also bring in people from the region and will expand to make it more regional which will improve content and networking.	2/9/2016 9:10 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

105	Thanks for the "Mother's Room" Please provide recycling facilities!! Too many recyclables going into the trash :( Shorter presentations were great for the pace!	2/9/2016 9:07 AM
106	N/A	2/9/2016 9:01 AM
107	More space between posters to allow standing room, Need to project on screens, not walls, lost resolution, Better resolution on sponsor logos projected in session rooms.	2/9/2016 8:57 AM
108	Would like to see the poster contest judged. Exhibitors were great. Good length of conference and presentations.	2/9/2016 8:54 AM
109	N/A	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
110	-Allowing for longer (45 min) presentations-maybe longer -Afternoon session? -Allowing larger exhibitor spaces	2/9/2016 8:35 AM
111	Great Job!	2/9/2016 8:34 AM
112	Brittany was extremely helpful with the registration process. The organization of the conference sessions was perfect and the speakers were relevant and knowledgeable. There were plenty of opportunities to network as well. The five minutes between talks allowed for more floating around sessions - this was also made possible by the larger rooms at the conference venue.	2/9/2016 8:30 AM
113	Wonderful conference. Two days is perfect. Thank you for all your hard work! I really enjoyed the presentations by everyone, especially if there were some (if no all with results). At the SWS Conference, they have a lot of student present who have no results, which is not overly helpful. These are better off as poster sessions. Your choices for presentations were wonderful.	2/9/2016 8:24 AM
114	Wonderful conference filled with great information and people. It was timed perfectly and I really appreciate how organized it was and the variety of presentation topics. Poster and food were also wonderful	2/9/2016 8:15 AM
115	This was a wonderful networking experience for me and all the other students whowere here today. Thank you for opening doors for me!	2/8/2016 2:59 PM

2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

**Q15 Name (optional):**

Answered: 84 Skipped: 115

#	Responses	Date
1	Jeanette Miller	2/10/2016 2:48 PM
2	Taryn Correll	2/10/2016 10:56 AM
3	Rebecca Swerida	2/10/2016 10:50 AM
4	Susan Watson	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
5	Victoria Reader	2/10/2016 10:41 AM
6	Derron LaBrake	2/10/2016 10:38 AM
7	William Twupack	2/10/2016 10:34 AM
8	Justin Reel	2/10/2016 10:28 AM
9	Steve Miller	2/10/2016 10:25 AM
10	Sarah Nuss	2/10/2016 10:22 AM
11	Alfonso Yanez	2/10/2016 10:17 AM
12	Kim Cole	2/10/2016 10:14 AM
13	Karen Bowman	2/10/2016 10:06 AM
14	Ram Mohan	2/10/2016 10:04 AM
15	Tim Freiday	2/10/2016 10:03 AM
16	Ross Hill	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
17	Danielle Gruber	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
18	Eileen Butler	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
19	Carissa Reh	2/10/2016 9:50 AM
20	John Cargill	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
21	TJ Austin	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
22	Kyle Runion	2/10/2016 9:23 AM
23	Kara Coats	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
24	Clair Jantz	2/10/2016 9:19 AM
25	Chris Flathers	2/10/2016 8:52 AM
26	Bob Collins	2/10/2016 8:36 AM
27	Laura Mitchell	2/10/2016 8:34 AM
28	Jonathon Stewart	2/10/2016 8:29 AM
29	Robert Fushlow	2/10/2016 8:21 AM
30	Joe Rogerson	2/10/2016 8:06 AM
31	Wendy Scott	2/9/2016 3:42 PM
32	Natalie Byers	2/9/2016 3:40 PM
33	Brooks Cahall	2/9/2016 3:36 PM
34	Bill Young/ Kevin Smarz	2/9/2016 3:33 PM
35	Kirk Raper	2/9/2016 3:19 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

36	Kitty Bronson	2/9/2016 3:17 PM
37	Kelly Power	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
38	Douglas Janiec	2/9/2016 3:11 PM
39	Ron Manelski	2/9/2016 3:06 PM
40	Melanie Fuoco	2/9/2016 2:18 PM
41	Karuna Chintapenta	2/9/2016 2:04 PM
42	Kathrine Phillips	2/9/2016 1:03 PM
43	David Hithings	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
44	Scott Lerberg	2/9/2016 12:20 PM
45	John Callahan	2/9/2016 12:08 PM
46	"Brown Brittany"	2/9/2016 12:04 PM
47	Adam Efird	2/9/2016 12:03 PM
48	Tom Mckenna	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
49	Brett Dietz	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
50	Sandra Burton	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
51	Philip Jones	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
52	Jocelyn Wordrup	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
53	Tim Hess	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
54	Danielle Kreeger	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
55	Mollie Nugent	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
56	Jack Gingrich	2/9/2016 11:38 AM
57	Melissa Hubert	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
58	Matthew Ortynsky	2/9/2016 11:35 AM
59	Andrew Homsey	2/9/2016 11:11 AM
60	Charles A. Rhodes Jr.	2/9/2016 11:00 AM
61	Laura Collins	2/9/2016 10:49 AM
62	Nan Zamorski	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
63	John Schneider	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
64	Mark Strickland	2/9/2016 10:02 AM
65	Todd Klawinski	2/9/2016 9:56 AM
66	Loraine Fleming	2/9/2016 9:48 AM
67	Katherine Melton	2/9/2016 9:44 AM
68	LeeAnn Haaf	2/9/2016 9:39 AM
69	Christina Stevenson	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
70	Stephanie Stotts	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
71	Steve Wilkins	2/9/2016 9:28 AM
72	Greg Decowsky	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
73	Matthew Jones	2/9/2016 9:18 AM
74	Julie Molina	2/9/2016 9:15 AM
75	Gregory Allis	2/9/2016 9:12 AM
76	Martha Narvaez	2/9/2016 9:10 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

77	Linda Bailey	2/9/2016 9:07 AM
78	Dr. Kevina Vulinec	2/9/2016 9:02 AM
79	Anika Andrews-Spilman	2/9/2016 9:01 AM
80	Ryan Kiska	2/9/2016 8:54 AM
81	Michael Tholstrup	2/9/2016 8:41 AM
82	Kevin F. Coyle	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
83	Alex Summit	2/9/2016 8:35 AM
84	Emily Dolbin	2/9/2016 8:24 AM

2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

**Q16 Email (optional):**

Answered: 79 Skipped: 120

#	Responses	Date
1	jeanette@udel.edu	2/10/2016 2:48 PM
2	tcorrell@ramboll.com	2/10/2016 10:56 AM
3	rebecca.swerida@maryland.gov	2/10/2016 10:50 AM
4	gv8fuled@comcast.net	2/10/2016 10:44 AM
5	readertori@yahoo.com	2/10/2016 10:41 AM
6	dlabrake@wetlandsandecology.com	2/10/2016 10:38 AM
7	wtwupack@cemsience.com	2/10/2016 10:34 AM
8	sreel@rkk.com	2/10/2016 10:28 AM
9	smiller@dredge.com	2/10/2016 10:25 AM
10	mcguire@vims.edu	2/10/2016 10:22 AM
11	ayanezmorillo@ship.com	2/10/2016 10:17 AM
12	kim.cole@state.de.us	2/10/2016 10:14 AM
13	kbowman@centuryeng.com	2/10/2016 10:06 AM
14	rkmohan16@hotmail.com	2/10/2016 10:04 AM
15	tim.freiday777@gmail.com	2/10/2016 10:03 AM
16	info@aquascapesunlimited.com	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
17	eileen.butler@state.de.us	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
18	creh@centuryeng.com	2/10/2016 9:50 AM
19	John.cargill@state.de.us	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
20	tjaustin@centuryeng.com	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
21	runion.kyle@epa.gov	2/10/2016 9:23 AM
22	Kara.Coats@state.de.us (also add: vicki.ward@state.de.us)	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
23	cajant@ship.edu	2/10/2016 9:19 AM
24	cjflathers@nccde.org	2/10/2016 8:52 AM
25	jamesfarm@inlandbays.org	2/10/2016 8:36 AM
26	laura_mitchell@fws.gov	2/10/2016 8:34 AM
27	robert.rushlow@maryland.gov	2/10/2016 8:21 AM
28	kristenashhyde@gmail.com	2/10/2016 8:11 AM
29	joseph.rogerson@state.de.us	2/10/2016 8:06 AM
30	byoung@usaenviro.com	2/9/2016 3:33 PM
31	klr323@drexel.edu	2/9/2016 3:19 PM
32	catherine.bronson@state.de.us	2/9/2016 3:17 PM
33	djaniec@sover.com	2/9/2016 3:11 PM
34	rmanelski@udel.edu	2/9/2016 3:06 PM
35	mjfuoco15@student.desu.edu	2/9/2016 2:18 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

36	kchintapenta@desu.edu	2/9/2016 2:04 PM
37	kphillips@mdcoastalbays.org	2/9/2016 1:03 PM
38	dhitch@udel.edu	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
39	lerberg@vims.edu	2/9/2016 12:20 PM
40	john.callahan@udel.edu	2/9/2016 12:08 PM
41	aefird@moffattnichol.com	2/9/2016 12:03 PM
42	mckennat@udel.edu	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
43	bdietz@sovcon.com	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
44	sburton@linneindustries.com	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
45	pjones@millerandsmith.com	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
46	jocelynrobinson@gmail.com	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
47	thess@wrallp.com	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
48	dkreeger@delawareestuary.org	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
49	manflao@gmail.com	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
50	jbgingrich@comcast.net	2/9/2016 11:38 AM
51	melissa.hubert@state.de.us	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
52	matthew.ortynsky@kci.com	2/9/2016 11:35 AM
53	ahomsey@udel.edu	2/9/2016 11:11 AM
54	rhodes.charles@epa.gov	2/9/2016 11:00 AM
55	nanzamorski@gmail.com	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
56	john.schneider@state.de.us	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
57	todd.klawinski@cr.k12.de.us	2/9/2016 9:56 AM
58	LorraineImf@comcast.net	2/9/2016 9:48 AM
59	katherine.melton@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:44 AM
60	lhaaf@delawareestuary.org	2/9/2016 9:39 AM
61	csteve@udel.edu	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
62	stephanie.stotts@wesley.edu	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
63	simpsonds@pbworld.com	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
64	gregory.decowsky@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
65	matthew.jones@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:18 AM
66	julie.molina@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:15 AM
67	Gregory.Allis@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:12 AM
68	mcorrozi@udel.edu	2/9/2016 9:10 AM
69	Irosse@winterthur.org	2/9/2016 9:07 AM
70	Lara.jennings@state.de.us	2/9/2016 9:06 AM
71	kvulinec@desu.edu	2/9/2016 9:02 AM
72	anika.andrews-spilman@dep.nj.gov	2/9/2016 9:01 AM
73	hsmall3@yahoo.com	2/9/2016 8:59 AM
74	ryan.kiska@dep.nj.gov	2/9/2016 8:54 AM
75	michael.tholstrup@state.de.us	2/9/2016 8:41 AM
76	Kevin.Coyle@state.de.us	2/9/2016 8:38 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

77	Asummit@centuryeng.com	2/9/2016 8:35 AM
78	erb168@gmail.com	2/9/2016 8:24 AM
79	ldmerkle@mccormicktaylor.com	2/9/2016 8:15 AM

2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

**Q17 Organization/Affiliation (optional):**

Answered: 86 Skipped: 113

#	Responses	Date
1	University of Delaware	2/10/2016 2:48 PM
2	Ramboll environmental	2/10/2016 10:56 AM
3	MD DNR	2/10/2016 10:50 AM
4	VA Dept of Game and Inland Fisheries	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
5	UD	2/10/2016 10:41 AM
6	Wetlands and Ecology Inc	2/10/2016 10:38 AM
7	Chesapeake Environmental Management	2/10/2016 10:34 AM
8	RK&K	2/10/2016 10:28 AM
9	Ellicott Dredges	2/10/2016 10:25 AM
10	CBNERR	2/10/2016 10:22 AM
11	NCCD	2/10/2016 10:18 AM
12	Shippensburg University	2/10/2016 10:17 AM
13	Delaware Coastal Programs	2/10/2016 10:14 AM
14	Century Engineering	2/10/2016 10:06 AM
15	U of D	2/10/2016 10:03 AM
16	Aquascapes Unlimited	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
17	KCI Technologies	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
18	DNREC	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
19	Century Engineering	2/10/2016 9:50 AM
20	DNREC- SIRS	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
21	Century Engineering	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
22	Chesapeake Research Consortium	2/10/2016 9:23 AM
23	DNREC	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
24	Delaware State Parks	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
25	Shippensburg University	2/10/2016 9:19 AM
26	Del State University	2/10/2016 9:15 AM
27	Fish and Wildlife	2/10/2016 9:03 AM
28	DNREC	2/10/2016 8:58 AM
29	New Castle County	2/10/2016 8:52 AM
30	CIB	2/10/2016 8:36 AM
31	USFWS NE Region	2/10/2016 8:34 AM
32	MDE	2/10/2016 8:29 AM
33	DNREC DFW	2/10/2016 8:06 AM
34	DE Wildlands	2/9/2016 3:42 PM
35	RK&K	2/9/2016 3:40 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

36	DNREC/Watershed Stewardship/Drainage	2/9/2016 3:36 PM
37	Pennoni	2/9/2016 3:28 PM
38	Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University	2/9/2016 3:19 PM
39	DNREC	2/9/2016 3:17 PM
40	Brightfields, Inc	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
41	Sovereign Consulting Inc.	2/9/2016 3:11 PM
42	UD	2/9/2016 3:06 PM
43	DSU	2/9/2016 2:18 PM
44	DSU	2/9/2016 2:04 PM
45	Maryland Coastal Bays Program	2/9/2016 1:03 PM
46	University of Delaware	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
47	CBNERR-VAVIMS	2/9/2016 12:20 PM
48	DGS/UD	2/9/2016 12:08 PM
49	Moffatt & Nichol	2/9/2016 12:03 PM
50	Delaware Geological Society, UD	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
51	Sovereign Consulting	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
52	Pond Hawk by Linne Industries	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
53	Miller and Smith Inc.	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
54	WRA	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
55	PDE	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
56	Town of Slaughter Beach	2/9/2016 11:38 AM
57	DNREC Drainage Program	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
58	KCI Technologies	2/9/2016 11:35 AM
59	University of Delaware	2/9/2016 11:11 AM
60	US EPA	2/9/2016 11:00 AM
61	WRA	2/9/2016 10:49 AM
62	DNREC	2/9/2016 10:17 AM
63	Delaware Nature Society, Reclaim our River-Nanticoke Series	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
64	DNREC, Watershed Stewardship	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
65	Century Engineering	2/9/2016 10:02 AM
66	CRSD	2/9/2016 9:56 AM
67	DNS retired	2/9/2016 9:48 AM
68	DNREC, Parks & Rec	2/9/2016 9:44 AM
69	KCI Technologies	2/9/2016 9:42 AM
70	PDE	2/9/2016 9:39 AM
71	KCI Technologies	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
72	Wesley College	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
73	DNREC/WHS/SIRS	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
74	DNREC, WSLs	2/9/2016 9:18 AM
75	DNREC, Wetlands & Subaqueous Lands	2/9/2016 9:15 AM
76	DNREC, Watershed Stewardship	2/9/2016 9:12 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

77	UD	2/9/2016 9:10 AM
78	DISC & Winterthur	2/9/2016 9:07 AM
79	Delaware State University	2/9/2016 9:02 AM
80	NJDEP	2/9/2016 9:01 AM
81	Delaware State University	2/9/2016 8:59 AM
82	NJDEP	2/9/2016 8:54 AM
83	DNREC, Energy & Climate	2/9/2016 8:41 AM
84	DNREC, Energy & Climate	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
85	Century Engineering Inc.	2/9/2016 8:35 AM
86	McCormick Taylow	2/9/2016 8:24 AM

2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

**Q18 State (optional):**

Answered: 88 Skipped: 111

#	Responses	Date
1	Delaware	2/10/2016 2:48 PM
2	PA	2/10/2016 10:56 AM
3	MD	2/10/2016 10:50 AM
4	Virginia	2/10/2016 10:42 AM
5	NJ	2/10/2016 10:41 AM
6	PA	2/10/2016 10:38 AM
7	MD	2/10/2016 10:34 AM
8	MD	2/10/2016 10:28 AM
9	MD	2/10/2016 10:25 AM
10	VA	2/10/2016 10:22 AM
11	Delaware	2/10/2016 10:18 AM
12	Pennsylvania	2/10/2016 10:17 AM
13	Delaware	2/10/2016 10:14 AM
14	MD	2/10/2016 10:06 AM
15	PA/DE	2/10/2016 10:04 AM
16	Delaware	2/10/2016 10:03 AM
17	PA	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
18	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:58 AM
19	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:52 AM
20	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:50 AM
21	DE	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
22	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:47 AM
23	MD	2/10/2016 9:23 AM
24	DE	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
25	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:21 AM
26	Pennsylvania	2/10/2016 9:19 AM
27	Delaware	2/10/2016 9:15 AM
28	Maryland	2/10/2016 9:02 AM
29	Delaware	2/10/2016 8:58 AM
30	DE	2/10/2016 8:52 AM
31	Delaware	2/10/2016 8:36 AM
32	Maryland	2/10/2016 8:29 AM
33	Maryland	2/10/2016 8:21 AM
34	Delaware	2/10/2016 8:06 AM
35	DE	2/9/2016 3:42 PM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey


36	MD	2/9/2016 3:40 PM
37	DE	2/9/2016 3:36 PM
38	NJ	2/9/2016 3:33 PM
39	PA	2/9/2016 3:19 PM
40	DE	2/9/2016 3:17 PM
41	DE	2/9/2016 3:13 PM
42	DE	2/9/2016 3:06 PM
43	DE	2/9/2016 2:18 PM
44	DE	2/9/2016 2:04 PM
45	MD	2/9/2016 1:03 PM
46	DE	2/9/2016 12:24 PM
47	VA	2/9/2016 12:20 PM
48	DE	2/9/2016 12:08 PM
49	NC	2/9/2016 12:03 PM
50	DE	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
51	Maryland	2/9/2016 11:59 AM
52	Delaware	2/9/2016 11:55 AM
53	VA	2/9/2016 11:53 AM
54	Maryland	2/9/2016 11:50 AM
55	DE	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
56	New York	2/9/2016 11:47 AM
57	Delaware	2/9/2016 11:44 AM
58	DE	2/9/2016 11:38 AM
59	DE	2/9/2016 11:37 AM
60	DE	2/9/2016 11:35 AM
61	DE	2/9/2016 11:11 AM
62	MD	2/9/2016 11:04 AM
63	PA	2/9/2016 11:00 AM
64	DE	2/9/2016 10:19 AM
65	DE	2/9/2016 10:17 AM
66	DE	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
67	DE	2/9/2016 10:08 AM
68	DE	2/9/2016 9:56 AM
69	DE	2/9/2016 9:44 AM
70	DE	2/9/2016 9:39 AM
71	DE	2/9/2016 9:35 AM
72	DE	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
73	MD	2/9/2016 9:33 AM
74	DE	2/9/2016 9:24 AM
75	DE	2/9/2016 9:18 AM
76	DE	2/9/2016 9:15 AM

## 2016 Delaware Wetlands Conference Evaluation Survey

77	DE	2/9/2016 9:12 AM
78	DE	2/9/2016 9:10 AM
79	DE	2/9/2016 9:07 AM
80	DE	2/9/2016 9:02 AM
81	NJ	2/9/2016 9:01 AM
82	DE	2/9/2016 8:59 AM
83	NJ	2/9/2016 8:54 AM
84	DE	2/9/2016 8:41 AM
85	DE	2/9/2016 8:38 AM
86	DE	2/9/2016 8:35 AM
87	MD	2/9/2016 8:24 AM
88	MD	2/9/2016 8:15 AM

**Q19 If you would like to join our email lists please provide your name, affiliation and email address and select which list(s) you would like to be a part of:**

Answered: 74 Skipped: 125



Answer Choices	Responses
Delaware Wetlands Conference	85.14% 63
Delaware Wetlands Connection E-newsletter	85.14% 63
Delaware Coastal Training Program E-newsletter	67.57% 50
<b>Total Respondents: 74</b>	